

Minutes from December 11 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID for the remainder of 2018 is:

<https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869>

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura, Mike Denicola

IBM: Dan Bandera

Oracle: Will Lyons

Payara: Steve Millidge

Red Hat: Mark Little

Tomitribe: David Blevins, Richard Monson-Hafael

Martijn Verburg

Ivar Grimstad

Eclipse: Mike Milinkovich, Paul White

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Minutes of Nov 27 meeting were approved.

Minutes of the Dec 4 meeting will be reviewed at the next Steering Committee meeting.

Updates on work for Eclipse GlassFish release (no longer about Oracle contributions)

Goal for GA announcement: Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 passes updated Java EE 8 CTS 100%.

Eclipse GlassFish 5.1:

- *Built at EE4J*
- *All GlassFish components formerly built from Oracle sources are built from EE4J sources that Oracle has contributed to EE4J.*

Updated Java EE 8 CTS:

- *Java EE 8 CTS binary tests executed on Oracle infrastructure.*
- *Java EE 8 CTS binary tests that are verified to pass 100% on the latest Java EE 8 RI.*
- *The latest Java EE 8 RI is Oracle GlassFish 5.0.1, containing fixes also included in EE4J sources.*

Working to the following schedule:

Sep 21 -- All code required for GF build contributed.

Sep 23 — Eclipse GlassFish builds.

Oct 1 -- Java EE 8 CTS testing.

Oct 22 -- Eclipse GlassFish 5.1-RC1 milestone release.

Oct 31 — CI/CD release pipelines completed.

Nov 12 — Dependencies updated. All projects are released to OSSRH and have dependencies to Eclipse version of other components. (complete)

Nov 30 -- Release Review completed. (complete)

TBD* -- when we have an Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 build with CTS results -- Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 release. All CTS tests are passed. (discussed below)

*Date to be set when we will an Eclipse GlassFish build with all EE4J components integrated and a set of Java EE 8 CTS results. Believe this will be during Jan 2019.

Update from Dmitry

1. Staging Release: Implementations

<https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/5>

Finished.

2. GlassFish Integration

<https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/6>

31 done, 6 in progress

ha-api -> issues with quick look tests. In Progress. ETA is tomorrow.

woodstock -> ETA is next week.

jsftemplating, shoal -> GF is not picking up new released artifacts. Work in progress. ETA is next week.

jersey -> ETA is Friday

eclipselink -> ETA is Friday

3. CTS results

a) We have the finalized the updated Java EE 8 CTS.

- We are running the updated Java EE 8 CTS tests on Oracle infrastructure against preliminary Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 builds (builds containing all of the EE4J components integrated so far).
- Current results: 40339 tests (+8111), 5369 failures (+3226) , 111 skipped (+41)

b) We are also executing tests built from EE4J TCK sources on Eclipse infrastructure, against Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 builds.

- Current results (from Dec 7th):
<https://jenkins.eclipse.org/jakartaee-tck/job/jakartaee-tck-publish-reports/66/junit-reports-with-handlebars/testSuitesOverview.html>
89.74% passed, 9.75% failed, 0.51% skipped

4. New project board to track CTS and integration tasks

<https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/8>

1 done, 3 in progress, 7 to do

5. Non EE4J components

Batch + implementation -> released to OSSRH staging

CDI, BV + implementations -> not released to OSSRH staging, released only to JBoss repository

In order to up-take artifacts we require releasing them to OSSRH staging.

This work item is not a requirement for an Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 release (nice to have only).

Planning for the end of year announcements:

On hold pending the confirmation of an Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 release date.

Tracking document for this announcement activity is given below.

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1RjZMySf_dLkBhQu1wl8KgKml8BZLtDOWFQXbMW_d-Zg/edit#gid=0

Jakarta EE Trademark policy

Paul distributed the following:

The Jakarta EE Marketing Committee reviewed and approved the Jakarta EE Trademark Guidelines at its November 29, 2018 meeting. The approval included a change of the intended mark from "Jakarta EE Certified" to "Jakarta EE Compatible", based on input from Eclipse's counsel. A number of minor edits were also made by counsel, mainly dealing with how these guidelines interact with the broader Eclipse Trademark Policy.

Paul attached a proposed final version and is recommending the Steering Committee approve it at this meeting. The link to the Google doc is below:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1paSyqHQaz6lmnzfY6kK85autLV5_YCb01jpwwDrk-bs/edit#heading=h.njmsb6fbbebb3

The following resolution was approved:

RESOLVED, the Jakarta EE Steering Committee approves the Jakarta EE Trademark Guidelines as presented.

The marketing committee will select the actual logos.

Invitation to Apache Software Foundation as a Guest Member

From Paul:

I know we've talked about this in the past, but it would be useful to have on record we are inviting the Apache Software Foundation as a Guest member to Jakarta EE Working Group.

Guest membership is defined in the [charter](#). Apache would still be required to execute the Eclipse Membership Agreement and the Jakarta EE Working Group Participation Agreement.

The following resolution was approved unanimously:

RESOLVED, the Jakarta EE Steering Committee invites the Apache Software Foundation as a Guest member.

David will reach out to Apache.

Legal Documents

Trademark License Agreement

Per last week, Oracle has provided a Draft Trademark License Agreement to the Eclipse Foundation.

We are asking for availability dates from Oracle Legal - key negotiator still out this week.

Participation Agreements

Mike Milinkovich requested two weeks ago that each group come back next week with a status on obtaining participation agreement signoff.

IBM, Oracle and Fujitsu provided updates last week. Are there updates from Red Hat and Payara?

Red Hat is moving forward with the participation agreement and hopes to resolve this this week.

Payara intends to deliver before the end of the year.

[It was noted that JNoSQL cannot move forward until the applicable Participation Agreement, Member Committer Agreement is in place.]

Per last week's discussion, Oracle does not expect to sign the Participation Agreement by Dec 31 and requests an additional 60 days to complete this. Oracle requests that the following resolution adopted Sept 11:

RESOLVED, the EMO is requested to contact each member in the Jakarta EE Working Group no later than January 1, 2019 to arrange for their execution of the Jakarta EE Participation Agreement, and all members who fail to execute the Agreement within 90 days of being contacted will be removed from the Jakarta EE Working Group until such time as they do execute the Agreement.

Be revised as follows:

RESOLVED, the EMO is requested to contact each member in the Jakarta EE Working Group no later than January 1, 2019 to arrange for their execution of the Jakarta EE Participation Agreement, and all members who fail to execute the Agreement by March 1, 2019, or within 90 days of being contacted, whichever is later, will be removed from the Jakarta EE Working Group until such time as they do execute the Agreement.

The group discussed. It was proposed that a resolution be drafted for adoption next week that covers:

- Oracle gets another 60 days
- The effective date would be Jan 1, 2019
- No other changes for any other member

The intent of the participation agreement is that payment is due 30 days after the agreement is executed.

Marketing Committee Update

Any other updates for the group.

Will make decision on the Eclipse GlassFish release at the Jan 3 meeting, assuming there is a release date defined.

The group requested a defined release date from Oracle on Jan. 2.

Weekly Meeting Schedule

We will use the same standing time slot for this meeting through March 31, 2019.

Bootstrapping Specification Projects

Paul requested for the purpose of bootstrapping Specification projects (and IP Capture) that each Strategic Member of the WG assign one committer to each spec project, through the first release of the new spec.

- Mark requested an update from Mike Milinkovich on whether RH counsel has agreed this is necessary.

Please come back next week with input on this topic, including alternative suggestions.

Requested that Mike lead a discussion on this topic next week at the next SC meeting, including a description of the rationale for the suggestion.